Fiscal Estimate - 2005 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRB	Number	05-2043/1		Introd	duction Numb	oer A	B-186
Subje	ct						·
Procui	ement of sta	te contractual s	ervices from dom	estic sour	ces	-	
Fiscal	Effect	-	,				
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase Appropria Decrease Appropria	e Existing Itions Existing	Revenue Decreas Revenue	e Existing	to abs		- May be possible agency's budget No
	Indeterminat 1. Increas Permise 2. Decrea	e Costs sive∭Mandato se Costs	3. Increase	ve∏Man e Revenue	datory Tov		ts Affected Village Cities Others <u>0</u> WTCS Districts
Fund :	Sources Afford		PRS SEC	G 🔲 SE	Affected Ch.	. 20 Appr	opriations
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву	Aut	horized S	ignature		Date
DOA/ I	Mary Massey	v (608) 267-209	9 Mai	tha Kerne	er (608) 266-1359		3/22/2005

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOA 3/23/2005

LRB Number 05-2043/1	Introduction Number	AB-186	Estimate Type	Original							
Subject											
Procurement of state contractual services from domestic sources											

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires that all contractual services purchased by executive branch agencies be performed within the United States.

The Department of Administration does not track service location for centrally managed contracts nor are agencies required to report this information on agency managed contracts. Nevertheless, the department estimates that the majority of direct services are provided by US based workers. However, there is a growing national trend for large IT and banking organizations to subcontract for call center, programming, and back-office services.

In general the following types of cost increases would occur as the result of this bill:

- 1. The lowest bidder may not be awarded the contract.
- 2. Increased time to review all subcontracts to determine if the work is performed in the US at the time of award and throughout the life of the contract.
- 3. Time and cost of lengthening the administrative procurement process to assess these factors.

In addition to the above, Wisconsin is currently a signatory to the World Trade Organization Government Procurement Agreement (WTOGPA). Under this agreement preferences in favor of the United States must be eliminated from all contracts over a certain threshold. The provisions of this bill could put the state in violation of this agreement.

The University of Wisconsin has a number of programs where they are a part of an international consortium and act as the lead research agency. In this role they routinely procure services from international companies and research institutions. The bill could impact the UW's ability to enter into these agreements.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Unknown